# BEFORE THE ZONING HEARING BOARD

#### TOWNSHIP OF EAST LAMPETER

IN RE:

: No. 2017-8

APPLICATION OF DANIEL K. KING, JR.

### **DECISION**

### I. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Applicant is Daniel K. King, Jr., 139 Bowman Road, Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602 ("Applicant").
- 2. The property which is the subject of the instant application is located at 139 Bowman Road, East Lampeter Township, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania (the "Property").
  - 3. Applicant is the owner of the Property.
- 4. The Property is located in the Agricultural District as shown on the Official Zoning Map of East Lampeter Township.
- 5. Notice of the hearing on the within application was duly advertised and posted in accordance with the provisions of the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code ("MPC") and the East Lampeter Zoning Ordinance of 2016 (the "Zoning Ordinance").

- 6. A public hearing was held before the Zoning Hearing Board of East Lampeter Township ("Board") on this application on July 27, 2017.
  - 7. Testimony at the hearing was stenographically recorded.
  - 8. Applicant appeared personally at the hearing.
- 9. The Property is currently improved with a dwelling and barn.
- 10. The existing barn is 36 feet by 36 feet (with a 7 foot porch) and is 24.5 feet in height.
- 11. Applicant desires to add anew addition to the horse barn (approximately 16 feet by 36 feet) and further desires to create a full second floor for the existing barn and addition.
- 12. The proposed height of the reconstructed horse barn will be 27.5 feet.
- 13. Applicant has requested a variance from the terms of Section 3030.E.2 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 14. Section 3030.E.2 of the Zoning Ordinance states that the maximum height of accessory structures shall be 25 feet.
- 15. Applicant testified that he requires a horse barn 27.5 feet in height in order to utilize a peaked roof to carry snow weight.
  - 16. No persons appeared in opposition to the application.

#### II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. An applicant for a variance bears the burden of proving that unnecessary hardship will result if the variance is not granted and that the grant of the proposed variance will not be contrary to the public interest. Valley View Civic Association v. Zoning Board of Adjustment, 501 Pa. 550, 462 A.2d 637 (1983); Zaruta v. Zoning Hearing Board of the City of Wilkes-Barre, 117 Pa. Commonwealth Ct. 526, 543 A.2d 1282 (1988); Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code ("MPC") §910.2.
- 2. A variance, if granted, "must be the minimum that will afford relief and will represent the least modification of the ordinance." Rogers v. Zoning Hearing Board of East Pikeland Township, 103 Pa. Commonwealth Ct. 478, 520 A.2d 922, 924 (1987); MPC §910.2(a)(5).
- 3. In determining whether unnecessary hardship has been established, zoning hearing boards should examine whether the variance sought is use or dimensional. To justify the grant of a dimensional variance, zoning hearing boards may consider multiple factors, including the economic detriment to the applicant if the variance was denied, the financial hardship created by any work necessary to bring the building into strict compliance with the zoning requirements and the characteristics of the surrounding neighborhood. Hertzberg v. Zoning Board of Adjustment of the City

of Pittsburgh, 721 A.2d 43 (1998); Talkish v. Zoning Hearing Board of Harborcreek Township, 738 A.2d 50 (1999).

- 4. When seeking a dimensional variance within a permitted use, the owner is asking only for a reasonable adjustment of the zoning regulations in order to utilize the property in a manner consistent with the applicable regulations, Thus, the grant of a dimensional variance is of lesser moment than the grant of a use variance, since the latter involves a proposal to use the property in a manner that is wholly outside the zoning regulation. Hertzberg v. Zoning Board of Adjustment of the City of Pittsburgh, 721 A.2d 43 (1998).
- 5. The quantum of proof required to establish unnecessary hardship is lesser when a dimensional variance, as opposed to a use variance, is sought. Hertzberg v. Zoning Board of Adjustment of the City of Pittsburgh, 721 A.2d 43 (1998).
- 6. There will be no adverse effect on the public health, safety or general welfare if the variance is granted.
- 7. The variance requested by Applicant is the minimum variance necessary to grant relief.
- 8. Applicant have satisfied the requirements for a dimensional variance from Section 3030.E.2 of the Zoning Ordinance in order to construct the horse barn 27.45 feet in height.
- 9. Conditions must be attached to the granting of the variance to protect and preserve the surrounding neighborhood.

## III. DECISION

Based upon the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Zoning Hearing Board of the Township of East Lampeter hereby grants the application of Daniel K. King, Jr. for a variance from the terms of Section 3030.E.2 of the Zoning Ordinance in order to permit the reconstruction of a horse barn 27.5 feet in height. The variance granted herein shall be subject to the following conditions and safeguards which the Board deems necessary to implement the purposes of the Zoning Ordinance and the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code:

- 1. Applicant shall obtain all approvals and permits required by applicable laws and regulations.
- Applicant shall at all times comply with and adhere to the evidence presented to the Board at the hearing held on July 27, 2017.
- 3. Any violation of the conditions contained in this Decision shall be considered a violation of the Zoning Ordinance and shall be subject to the penalties and remedies contained in the Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code.
- 4. The foregoing Decision shall be binding upon the Applicant and his heirs, personal representatives and assigns.

	ZONING HEARING BOARD OF THE
	TOWNSHIP OF EAST LAMPETER
	Cham Blil
	James Glick, Vice-Chairman
	158/1
	Brian High, Alternate
	Dan Przywara, Alternate
	V
Dated and filed Myston July 27, 2017.	, 2017, after hearing held
The undersigned certifies served upon all parties on or pr	that a copy of this Decision was rior to, 2017.
	Dava a Attohens